

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,084	02/14/2001	Tadashi Ohashi	1341.1080 (ЉН)	9826
21171	7590 05/28/2002			
STAAS & H	STAAS & HALSEY LLP EXAMINER			INER
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			LEE, SEUNG H	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/782,084	OHASHI, TADASHI			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Seung H Lee	2876	·		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	pars on the cov r sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in on the final rejection after the mailing date of the final rejection.	efee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed,	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: So		sidered but does No	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b vould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		7		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		//h	7		
		MICHAEL G. SURERVISORY PATEN TECHNOLOGY CEN	IT EXAMINER		

Continuation Sh t (PTO-303) 009/782,084

Continuation of 5. does NOT plac the application in condition for allowance because: Cahill et al (US 5,940,844), Mahmood (US 5,091,727), and Linstead et al (US 5,548,753) still meets the claimed invention.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-10 remains rejected as set forth in the Final Rejection (see paper NO. 8).